

Supplementary File 1 for: Ershova V.B., Prokopiev A.V., Nikishin V.A., Khudoley A.K., Malyshev N.A. & Nikishin A.M. 2015. New data on Upper Carboniferous–Lower Permian deposits of Bol’shevik Island, Severnaya Zemlya Archipelago. *Polar Research* 34. Correspondence: Victoria B. Ershova: Institute of Earth Science, St. Petersburg State University, Universitetskaya nab. 7/9, St. Petersburg 199034, Russia. E-mail: [ershovavictoria@gmail.com](mailto:ershovavictoria@gmail.com)

### **Laser ablation inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry session details**

Laser ablation inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (LA–ICP–MS) data collection was performed at the Geoanalytical Laboratory, Washington State University, Pullman, WA, USA. Individual zircon grains were targeted for data collection using a New Wave YP213 213 nm solid state laser ablation system using a 20 µm diameter laser spot size, 5 Hz laser firing rate, and ultra high purity He as the carrier gas. Isotopic analyses of the ablated zircon material were performed using a ThermoScientific Element2 magnetic sector mass spectrometer using high purity Ar as the plasma gas. The following masses (in amu) were monitored for 0.005 s each in pulse detection mode: 202, 204, 206, 207, 208, 232, 235 and 238. At time = 0.0 s, the mass spectrometer began monitoring signal intensities; at time = 6.0 s, the laser began ablating zircon material; at time = 30.0 s, the laser was turned off and the mass spectrometer stopped monitoring signal intensities. A total of 250 data scans were collected for each zircon spot analyzed comprising: approximately 55 background scans; approximately 20 transitions scans between background and background+signal, approximately 175 background+signal scans. A scheme was developed to check whether mass 238 experienced a switch from pulse to analog mode during data collection and a correction procedure was employed to ensure the use of good quality intensity data for masses 235 and 238 when such a switch was observed.

### **U/Pb data analysis**

Previous LA–ICP–MS studies of UPb zircon dating used the so-called intercept method, which assumes that isotopic ratio varies linearly with scan number due solely to linearly varying isotopic fractionation (Chang et al. 2006; Gehrels et al. 2008). The data modelling approach favoured here was the modeling of background-corrected signal intensities for each isotope at each scan. Background intensity for each isotope was calculated using a fitted line (for decreasing background intensity) or using the arithmetic mean (for non-decreasing background intensity) at the global minimum of selected isotopes ( $^{206}\text{Pb}$ ,  $^{232}\text{Th}$ , and  $^{238}\text{U}$ ) for the spot. Background+signal intensity for each isotope at each scan was calculated using the median of fitted (second-order polynomial) intensity values for a moving window (seven scans wide here) that includes the scan. The precision of each background-corrected signal intensity value was calculated from the precision of background intensity value and the precision of the background+signal intensity value.

Zircon U/Pb age standards used during analysis are summarized in Supplementary Table S1, including the  $1099 \pm 0.6$  My FC zircon (FC-1 of Paces & Miller 1993) used here as the primary age standard. Isotopic data for FC were used to calculate Pb/U fractionation factors and their absolute errors for each FC data scan at each FC spot; these fractionation factors were smoothed session-wide for each data scan using the median of fitted (first-order polynomial) fractionation factor values for a moving window (11 FC spots wide here) that includes the current FC spot and scan. Under the operating conditions of the LA–ICP–MS sessions in this study, fractionation factors were found to vary strongly with scan number, decreasing with increasing scan number (presumably due to increasing ablation pit depth and the effect this had on fractionation; e.g., Paton et al. 2010). The zircon crystal lattice is widely known to accumulate  $\alpha$ -radiation damage (e.g., Zhang et al. 2009 and references therein). It was assumed here that increased  $\alpha$ -damage in a zircon leads to a decrease in the hardness of the zircon; this in turn leads to a faster rate of laser penetration into the zircon during ablation leading to dependence of isotopic fractionation on the degree of zircon lattice radiation damage. Ages calculated for all zircon age standards, when those standards were treated as unknowns, were used to construct a fractionation factor correction curve (exponential form) in terms of accumulated radiation damage. The notion of matrix-matched zircon standard and zircon unknown has been proposed largely on the basis of trace element chemistry (e.g., Black et al. 2004). In this study, time and lattice damage, parameters invisible to instruments used to characterize trace element chemistry, were introduced and applied based on measured U and Th chemistries to effectively matrix-match standard and unknown zircons.

Supplementary Table s1. Zircon age standards.

Standard	Standard	U/Pb age ( $\pm 2\sigma$ )	Reference
FC	Duluth complex	$1099.0 \pm 0.6$ My	Paces & Miller 1993
F5	Duluth complex	$1099.0 \pm 0.6$ My (assumed equal to FC-1)	Paces & Miller 1993
IF	Fish Canyon Tuff	$28.201 \pm 0.012$ My	Lanphere & Baadsraard 2001; Kuiper et al. 2008
MD	Mount Dromedary	$99.12 \pm 0.14$ My	Renne et al. 1998
PX	Peixe	$563.5 \pm 1.6$ My	Gehrels et al. 2008
R3	Braintree complex	$418.9 \pm 0.4$ My	Black et al. 2004)
T2	Temora 2, Middledale gabbroic diorite	$416.78 \pm 0.33$ My	Black et al. 2004
TR	Tardree Rhyolite	$61.23 \pm 0.11$ My	Dave Chew, pers. comm.

Uranium decay constants and the  $^{238}\text{U}/^{235}\text{U}$  isotopic ratio reported in Steiger & Jäger (1977) were used in this study. Errors for the isotopic ratios  $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{235}\text{Uc}$  ( $^{235}\text{Uc} = 137.88238\text{U}$ ),  $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$ , and  $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$  at each scan included errors from the background-corrected signal values for each isotope, the fractionation factor error, and an additional relative error term required to force 95% of the FC ages to be concordant. Ages for the ratios  $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{235}\text{Uc}$ ,  $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$ , and  $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$  were calculated for each data scan and checked for concordance; concordance here was defined as overlap of all three ages at the 1s level (the use of 2s level was found to skew the results to include scans with any significant common Pb).

## Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank the following individuals: Jim McMillan (Apatite to Zircon, Inc.) for technical assistance regarding sample preparation; Charles Knaack (Washington State University) for technical assistance regarding LA–ICP–MS data collection; and Paul O’Sullivan and Ray Donelick (Apatite to Zircon, Inc.) for assistance with LA–ICP–MS data interpretation.

## References

- Black L.P., Kamo S.L., Allen C.M., Davis D.W., Aleinikoff J.N., Valley J.W., Mundil R., Campbell I.H., Korsch R.J., Williams I.S. & Foudoulis C. 2004. Improved  $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$  microprobe geochronology by the monitoring of trace-element-related matrix effect; SHRIMP, ID–TIMS, ELA–ICP–MS and oxygen isotope documentation for a series of zircon standards. *Chemical Geology* 205, 15-140
- Chang Z., Vervoort J.D., McClelland W.C. & Knaack C. 2006. UPb dating of zircon by LA–ICP–MS. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems* 7, article no. Q05009, doi: 10.1029/2005GC001100.
- Chew D.M. & Donelick R.A. 2012. Combined apatite fission track and U–Pb dating by LA–ICP–MS and its application in apatite provenance analysis. In P. Sylvester (ed.): *Quantitative mineralogy and microanalysis of sediments and sedimentary rocks. Mineralogical Association of Canada Short Course 42*. Pp. 219-247. Ottawa: Mineralogical Association of Canada.
- Donelick R.A., O’Sullivan P.B. & Donelick M. B. 2010. A discordia-based method of zircon U–Pb dating from LA–ICP–MS analysis of single spots. In P.J. Williams et al. (eds.): *Smart science for exploration and mining: proceedings of the 10th Biennial SGA Meeting, Townsville, Australia, 17th-20th August 2009. Vol. 1*. Pp. 276-278. Townsville, Australia: Economic Geology Research Unit, James Cook University.
- Donelick R.A., O’Sullivan P.B. & Ketcham R.A. 2005. Apatite fission-track analysis. *Reviews in Mineralogy and Geochemistry* 58, 49-94.

- Gehrels G.E., Valencia V.A. & Ruiz J., 2008. Enhanced precision, accuracy, efficiency, and spatial resolution of UPb ages by laser ablation-multicollector-inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems* 9, Q03017, doi: 10.1029/2007GC001805.
- Kuiper K.F., Deino A., Hilgen P.J., Krijgsman W., Renne P.R. & Wijbrans J.R. 2008. Synchronizing rock clocks of Earth history. *Science* 320, 500-504.
- Lanphere M.A. & Baadsraard H. 2001. Precise K–Ar,  $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ , Rb–Sr and U–Pb mineral ages from the 27.5 Ma Fish Canyon Tuff reference standard. *Chemical Geology* 175, 653-671.
- Paces J.B. & Miller J.D. 1993. Precise U–Pb ages of Duluth Complex and related mafic intrusions, northeastern Minnesota: geochronological insights to physical, petrogenic, paleomagnetic, and tectonomagmatic processes associated with the 1.1 Ga Midcontinent Rift System. *Journal of Geophysical Research—Solid Earth* 98, 13997-14013.
- Paton C., Woodhead J.D., Hellstrom J.C., Hergt J.M., Greig A. & Maas R. 2010. Improved laser ablation U–Pb zircon geochronology through robust downhole fractionation correction, *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems* 11, Q0AA06, doi: 10.1029/2009GC002618.
- Renne P.R., Swisher C.C., Deino A.L., Karner D.B., Owens T.L. & DePaolo D.J. 1998. Intercalibration of standards, absolute ages and uncertainties in  $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$  dating. *Chemical Geology* 45, 117-152.
- Steiger R.H. & Jäger E. 1977. Subcommittee on geochronology: convention on the use of decay constants in geo- and cosmochemistry. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 36, 369-371.
- Zhang M., Ewing R.C., Boatner L.A., Salje E.K.H., Weber W.J., Daniel P., Zhang Y. & Farnan I. 2009. Pb+ irradiation of synthetic zircon ( $\text{ZrSiO}_4$ ): infrared spectroscopic study—reply. *American Mineralogist* 94, 856-858.